

MOHAWK VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Minutes

REGULAR MEETING September 8, 2020

MEMBERS PRESENT: Mr. Mike Silva, President
Mr. Tristan Wright, Vice-President
Mr. Charles Chavez, Jr., Clerk
Mr. Howie Jorajuria, Member
Mr. Julian Rinehart, Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

OTHERS PRESENT: Mrs. Shanna Johnson
Mrs. Delia Salcido
Ms. Sarah Bell
Mrs. Jennifer Puga
Ms. Traci Sparks
Ms. Kim Hand
Ms. Nydia Salcido
Mr. Tim Burns
Mrs. Ann Taylor

I. CALL TO ORDER

- ❖ Mr. Mike Silva called the Board Meeting to order at 5:00 pm

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

- ❖ The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Mike Silva

III. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

- ❖ Mr. Mike Silva asked for a motion to adopt the agenda. Mr. Tristan Wright made the motion to adopt. Mr. Charlie Chavez seconded.

There was no discussion, motion carries.

IV. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

- ❖ Ms. Traci Sparks stated that members of the community have been asking her questions in regards to the bond. She asked if there is an agenda or list of where the bond stands and will it be presented on the school website.

V. GENERAL INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Administrator Report

Mrs. Johnson stated she will explain some board member protocols. This part of the agenda is where she gives her board report. She stated she typically sends a board report once a month but lately it has been more often. She also stated that board members should not participate in a serial contact but, but can contact her or Mr. Silva at any time.

a) Enrollment Report

- Mrs. Johnson stated the following:
 - ❖ Enrollment is down, but fluctuating
 - ❖ It is hard to put a number down at this time

b) Budget Report

- Mrs. Johnson stated the following:
 - ❖ There are different areas where money comes from:

- M&O – Maintenance and Operation
- DDA – We receive \$70,000 - \$80,000 per year
- Federal Grants – There are many rules and regulations to use these funds. These funds are used to pay people. We received notification that federal grant monies will be increased.
- SFB – Building Renewal Grant – These monies are used for major repairs.
- ❖ Budgeting is interesting for this year
- ❖ We have applied for the Stability Grant. There is much justification and hoops to jump through for this grant. It is very difficult on how we can spend the money. It was very tricky to complete the grant. CARES Act Grant was rejected three times
- ❖ As of today we have 130 students. Our ADM is fluctuating around 125 which might put us into the small school funding. It will be interesting to see where our budget lands. Which will end up being better, last years ADM or small school funding. We know that being in a small school category will not last because students being home schooled could eventually return
- ❖ Meal reimbursement rates have gone back up to the Summer Food Program rates. This is good news. We can again feed any student under the age of 18. This goes through December

c) Bond Update

➤ **Mrs. Johnson stated the following:**

- ❖ It is required to hold a public meeting by October 31st to give an update on the override and bond to the public
- ❖ We have four architects that have responded
- ❖ We have the names of architectural companies from Phoenix. Bill Munch has been instrumental in getting this moving and speaking to the entities that have responded
- ❖ Interviews will start on September 14, 2020. Two will be on site and two will be virtual

d) Academics Report

➤ **Mrs. Johnson informed the board of the following:**

e) Maintenance Report

➤ **Mrs. Johnson informed the board of the following:**

- ❖ Water Plant Update:
 - Sternco has submitted back to ADEQ their deficiency corrections
 - Some concerns had to do with turbidity and backwash water. Those questions have been answered
 - Nam thought these responses were adequate
 - Mrs. Johnson reviewed the pre ATC and ATC process
 - Once the ATC is approved we have the green light to manufacture the plant
 - Nam has requested to separate the distribution into a separate project. Sternco will take care of this. They will have to justify the need for new distribution system
 - Mr. Wright asked if once the water plant is delivered would there be a spot to land the plant in a climate-controlled space. Mr. Burns responded that Sternco's plan is to have the AC unit ready upon the plants arrival
 - Mr. Wright asked how the turbidity question was being handled. Mrs. Johnson replied that there is a memo from Westec stating that the plate settler is designed to handle the turbidity. Mr. Wright replied with a comment that it looks like Sternco passed the liability to Westec. Mr. Burns stated that the plate settler is designed to handle anything that is put into canal water and we have it in writing.

f) General Items

➤ **Mrs. Johnson informed the board of the following:**

- ❖ Completing the structural analysis for the HVAC units is very frustrating
- ❖ The analysis for the gym is done and submitted, waiting for approval. The cost of the units will be over \$30,000
- ❖ The analysis for the workroom is complete and waiting for approval from SFB to order the unit
- ❖ There is another unit down in the main building. We are waiting for the analysis on this unit
- ❖ SFB has a new portal to submit BRG's
- ❖ We would like to order a mini bus. We are getting quotes

- ❖ Recommending ASBA board training There is a special discount at this time if we secure a training before October 31st and training can be done at a later date
- ❖ The BLT bus stop has been changed due to the construction taking place. The new bus stop will be a Coyote Wash in front of Legacy Feed
- ❖ Had a meeting with Representative Joanne Osborne. The purpose of the meeting was to see how things are working for us as a rural school

2. Governing Board Member Comments

- ❖ No comments

VI. CONSENT AGENDA

This is adoption of items of a routine nature and those that normally do not require deliberations on the part of the Governing Board. A board member may pull items, which will then be discussed and voted on separately.

Minutes

- a. Minutes of August 11, 2020 – Executive Session Meeting
- b. Minutes of August 11, 2020 – Regular Meeting

Expense Vouchers

c. Expense #05	\$33,834.77
d. Expense #06	\$20,611.13
e. Expense #07	\$73,232.33
f. Expense #08	\$ 262.67

Payroll Vouchers

g. P-04	\$48,042.38
h. P-05	\$41,503.49
i. P-05.1	\$11,193.76

Student Activity Reports

- j. August 2020
- k. Year-to-Date – 8/31/2020

Bank Account Reconciliations

- l. Student Activities/Revolving Fund/Cafeteria Fund – August 2020

Other

Motion: Mr. Mike Silva asked for a motion to accept the consent agenda. Mr. Tristan Wright made the motion to accept the consent agenda. Mr. Howie Jorajuria seconded.

VII. DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

1. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve FY21 8th Grade Field Trip

Motion: Mr. Charlie Chavez made the motion to approve FY21 8th Grade Field Trip. Mr. Tristan Wright seconded.

Mr. Tristan Wright asked how the sponsors would feel about possible tabling the field trip due to Covid still being an issue. Mrs. Jennifer Puga stated they would like to go ahead and have it approved, if Covid or other issues arise, they have a back up similar to last year where they would buy gift baskets. They presented an instate trip. They would like to begin with the fundraising. The students are super excited to start. The discussion went on back and forth about fundraising. The sponsors stated that some of the fundraising will not be in person, they have many on-line options. They stated fundraising needs to get started.

Mr. Wright asked if the trip needs to be approved in order to start fundraising. He asked if other board member would like to table the trip or if they were ok approving it.

Mr. Jorajuria asked if fundraising could start without approving the trip. Mrs. Puga stated that in past years they have always asked for board approval before they start fundraising.

Mrs. Puga explained how the typical BBQ held every year will be different this year since there will not be a Fall Festival:

- BBQ will be off campus
- It will be a grab-n-go
- Everyone working the BBQ is on board with this
- Masks will be required for all students and parents working the BBQ
- They will work in shift. Once their shift is over they will leave

Mr. Wright again questioned if the trip should be approved before fundraising begins and referring to Covid as a possibility.

At this time, Ms. Sarah Bell stated that how do you tell students they will be doing fundraising if they do not have an approved trip.

The sponsors stated that they would rather have an approved trip and if needed, they would change or cancel the trip.

Mrs. Johnson stated that it is best practice to approve the trip, which is what we do every year. Mrs. Johnson used an analogy to explain that there is always a possibility that an event could be canceled. She stated that the best practice would be to approve the trip and cancel if necessary.

Ms. Nydia Salcido stated that the parents are very excited about the possibility of a trip. She also stated that no parent to date has expressed a concern.

Mrs. Puga stated that with not knowing what is happening with sports and with school, the trip is exciting and normal for the students.

Mr. Howie Jorajuria stated that he sees both sides and loves the idea of students being excited and having a goal, but recognizes that asking for their approval is normal but not quite a normal situation. Suggested if there was a way to word to show that the board agrees and supports the trip but not necessarily approve it but it is how you deliver the message.

Mr. Julian Rinehart stated to go ahead and approve the trip with the intent that if something happens then the trip would not happen.

Ms. Kim Hand stated that the trip is a big motivating factor for the students.

Mr. Mike Silva questioned at what point the trip would be canceled. Mrs. Johnson stated it would be based on ADHS benchmarks and metrics. The decision would be made on the current situation of Covid in March, April or May.

Mr. Wright stated that the minutes could reflect the trip being approved and it was highly discussed that the trip could be canceled due to the Covid situation.

Mr. Jorajuria questioned if our return to school plan address field trips. Mrs. Johnson stated that it does and it refers to being in the substantial spread category. This would cover that concern. If we were in the substantial category we would consider not having the trip.

There was no further discussion. Motion carries unanimously.

2. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Policies IHA – Basic Instruction, KB – Parent Involvement, GCCA – Professional Leave, and GBEB – Staff Conduct, Second Reading

Motion: Mr. Charlie Chavez made the motion to approve Policies IHA – Basic Instruction, KB – Parent Involvement, GCCA – Professional Leave, and GBEB – Staff Conduct, Second Reading. Mr. Julian Rinehart seconded.

Mrs. Johnson stated these policies were discussed at the last board meeting and nothing changed.

There was no discussion. Motion carries.

3. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Policies ACA – Sexual Harassment (Regulation ACA-R) and ACAA – Title IX Sexual Harassment (Regulation ACAA-R), First Reading

Motion: Mr. Charlie Chavez made the motion to approve Policies ACA – Sexual Harassment (Regulation ACA-R) and ACAA – Title IX Sexual Harassment (Regulation ACAA-R), First Reading. Mr. Howie Jorajuria seconded.

Mrs. Johnson stated that typically, policies are not approved on the first reading but per ASBA, they are asking for approval on the first reading. These policies are all based on Title IX. She also stated that we just received these policies which went into effect in August, therefore they need to be approved because they need to be posted on the website.

Policy ACA – Sexual Harassment (Regulation ACA-R)

Policy ACAA – Title IX Sexual Harassment (Regulation ACAA-R)

There was no discussion. Motion carries.

4. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Updates to the Return-to-School In-Person Plan

Motion: Mr. Charlie Chavez made the motion to approve updates to the Return-to-School In-Person Plan. Mr. Julian Rinehart seconded.

Mrs. Johnson stated the following:

- Staff feels ready to start
- This is week 5 of Distant Learning
- Benchmarks have been met by percentages
- Hours of learning have been reduced from 8:30 am to 2:00 pm
- Office hours will be from 7:15 am to 3:35 pm
- We will continue helping any child who needs our help
- Phase in Approach:
 - Monday and Tuesday – Only K – 3
 - Wednesday – add 4 – 5
 - Thursday and Friday – add 6, 7 and 8
- 20 – 30 kids on campus every day since August 17th with no problems
- Safety protocols have been followed
- Desk shields have arrived for the classrooms and sidewalks have been marked
- We have a very detailed solid plan on how we want things to look
- Parents have been surveyed again
- A few families are waiting a couple of weeks to see how things go and one family needs to wait until October due to a special family circumstance
- Three or four families wishing to wait an extended time
- It will be very difficult to do both in-person and distant learning simultaneously and do them well
- Parents wishing to stay distant learning will be contacted to explain the expectations of distant learning
- Each family staying in distant learning will be assigned a staff member to keep in communication

Mr. Wright stated that he has not been successful in finding the benchmarks on the website indicating the 7%.

Mrs. Johnson at this time pulled up the link and showed the data. She went through the benchmarks and explained the data from the last two weeks.

Mr. Wright stated that if the trend continues and this Thursday is below 7% that would be two weeks. Mrs. Johnson stated it just depends how it is interpreted and she referred to the ADHS Return to in Person instruction (SRIP) document. She then referred to the August 9th 7% benchmarks and stated that Yuma District One claims this as not met but YUHSD claims it as met and will be opening in a Hybrid model.

She then explained the Moderate and Minimal categories by percent stating that at Moderate you can open in the Hybrid model.

Mrs. Johnson explained that for the Covid like illness category the green line is at 10% on the website but the green line for percent positive is at 7%. However, the SRIP document states that both categories are not green until it is less than 5%. She emphasized that the 5 – 10 % is in the moderate range and other districts have used it to open next week.

Comments were made about the yellow benchmark and how the ADHS website has not implemented yellow for schools but they have for businesses.

Mr. Wright stated that our insurance coverage hinges on guidelines. Mrs. Johnson referred to the SRIP document as the guideline

Mr. Jorajuria stated that he is all for starting school on September 14th if the data points on this Thursday come at or below 7%. He stated he thinks we will be fine this coming Thursday but Yuma County had not been listed as meeting the benchmarks. He referred to the attorney stating that liability is only an issue if we are outside of the guidelines. He stated he wants to air on the side of caution and maybe amend the motion to open the 14th so long as the data point this Thursday are met.

Mrs. Johnson referred back to the SRIP document and focusing that 7% is on the line. She stated that from the last meeting we did use the word “green” as meeting the benchmark and not by percentage. She asked the board to go by percentages rather than color. She stated flaws have been found on the ADHS website. She stated that the percentage fluctuate even after they are posted. Based on benchmarks we could have already opened. It is appropriate to bring it back because in the minutes it was stated green instead of saying benchmarks.

Mr. Jorajuria questioned how it was approved and Mrs. Johnson replied that it was by color.

Mrs. Johnson referred again to the SRIP document that it would cover liability. We have had approximately 60 people on campus with no problems. She stated we could be ready in five days but she needs to be able to send information out to the public. She posed the question of what if the percent is at 8% this week. Would we wait two more weeks to open? Mr. Jorajuria and Mr. Wright stated yes.

Mr. Silva stated that he is fine with the 7% and the 2.8% as long as we are fine this Thursday. Then Mrs. Johnson responded if you are asking her to wait to send out information just one day before opening.

Mr. Chavez stated that we are on track to open with what was agreed upon. We would start preparing and the Thursday before we would say we would open. Mrs. Johnson then questioned at what point would we say we would close. Will we close every time this is above 7%? Mr. Chavez replied we need clarification between the two systems because he recalls “yellow” as part of the discussion. He stated that as long as we are in the yellow we are still covered as far as he is concerned.

Mrs. Johnson once again referred again between the green and yellow and the two documents.

Mr. Jorajuria referred to keeping liability as a concern. Referring to the 7% being met is up for debate, saying this is not debatable since it is not below 7%. Mr. Chavez stated it is easy for percentage to go up but very hard for it to go down because people are only being tested when they feel sick. Very few people that are healthy are being tested. Mrs. Johnson stated that this has come up in many of her meetings.

Mrs. Johnson asked if they want to go by absolute color instead of percentage. Mr. Jorajuria stated he is fine with sending out a message that we will open as long as this week's benchmark is met. Mrs. Johnson referred to last month's meeting minutes and highlighted that a statement about color had been made. That it was unclear but a statement about meeting the green was made, then we could open the following Monday. Mr. Jorajuria referred to Mrs. Johnson's email about the 7.1% being red instead of green. He stated that we should be able to open on Monday because we will be ok this Thursday. He suggested that we change the way it was approved and referenced that Mr. Chavez remembers the discussion about yellow benchmarks. Mr. Chavez did confirm we had discussion about yellow to give ourselves some leeway. Mrs. Johnson referenced that this part of the website does not have a yellow but the business part has a yellow.

Mrs. Johnson asked the board to reconsider opening September 14th based 7-10% moderate range and the guidelines in the SRIPI document. We could open as a hybrid model. Some kids would be at home and some kids would be at school. She stated we are a small school. We will have 10-12 kids per classroom. This has been getting hard since we have been in distance learning for five weeks. This has been stressful for staff, parents and students. Internet has been an issue. She stated we have been safe with the people that have been on campus and teacher are becoming exasperated. It is time to start school. If liability is an issue, it is covered by following the SRIPI document guidelines. We are following the rules.

She asked the board to open on Monday, September 14th no matter what the benchmarks say on Thursday. She stated that in her mind the two weeks have been met because it follows the SRIPI guidelines. YUHSD considered it as met and will be opening September 14th. She stated that there is much information to get to parents and planning in order to open. She is asking to send this information out tomorrow.

The second thing that needs to be decided tonight is when we would return to only distant learning. She proposed that when any of the benchmark fall within the substantial category for two consecutive weeks we would consider going back to distant learning.

Mr. Wright stated he has no interest in operating outside ADE and ADHS guidelines.

Mr. Jorajuria questioned if the documents stated one of the benchmarks not being met for two consecutive weeks. Mrs. Johnson referenced the SRIPI document for clarification. It was agreed that if one of the three benchmarks have not been met for two consecutive weeks then moving to distant learning would need to be reconsidered.

Mr. Silva asked that these benchmarks be added to the Return-to-School Plan with an explanation of when in-person instruction would open or close.

Mr. Wright stated he would agree to opening on September 14th if the number continue to trend the same way. Mrs. Johnson responded that if they do not then it could be two or three weeks before we open and stated that we would have to start all over to get the two consecutive weeks.

Mr. Jorajuria suggested making a motion to open if the percentages were 7% this week. He stated he would like to get opening school on Monday accomplished.

Mr. Chavez responded referring back to the yellow as a consideration. Mrs. Johnson again referenced again we would have to start over if it is above 7%.

Mr. Wright asked to have it explain why closing would not follow the same criteria as benchmarks being met to open. She referenced back to the yellow on the SRIPI document but not on ADHS website.

Mrs. Johnson stated she is asking to open on Monday, September 14th regardless of what the benchmarks are this week because of the moderate range percentages as a hybrid model which is what will be offered. We cannot completely disregard the yellow range. We are a small school and we can open safely in a hybrid model. We cannot disregard the SRIPI document in terms of liability. She went on to explain the percentages in each category and their labels as substantial, moderate and minimal.

Mrs. Johnson proposed that we open as a hybrid because we are in the moderate or minimal range in all three benchmarks. She referenced YUDHS and AUHS and two private school are opening based on this data. Those schools that have opened in Yuma are fine so far.

She expressed that she would like to send information out to parents tomorrow. She stated that she is concerned that it will be above 7% this Thursday and we would not be able to open for several weeks. She once again said that families and children are struggling.

Mr. Rinehart asked that opening on Monday would be hybrid with K-3 on campus and others still at home. Mrs. Johnson stated this is correct.

Mr. Wright stated the attorney had reference the ADHS benchmarks only and he has no desire to open if we are not within those benchmarks and commented his concerns about liability.

Mr. Jorajuria stated that we meet the criteria for the hybrid model as defined in the SRIPI document. Mrs. Johnson stated that we must remember that we have only 100 children wanting to come back and the attorney also stated we must have a mitigation plan in place. Mrs. Johnson stated we have an excellent mitigation plan and we have been diligent.

Mr. Jorajuria stated that if we do not open we may not get kids back into school until October. He then stated that we want to hurry up and get kids in school before this week's benchmarks even if it is unsafe. Mrs. Johnson replied she is not asking to have kids in school before it is safe. She referenced again to the hybrid model and moderate range in the SRIPI document. Mr. Jorajuria agreed that he understood how Mrs. Johnson explained it.

Mr. Silva asked for clarification if we were going with benchmarks from last meeting or with the new ideas presented. Again, Mrs. Johnson referenced the minutes from the last meeting where it was not completely clear, therefore, she want it to be very clear in this meeting.

Mrs. Johnson stated that we could say:

- That as long as the benchmarks stay within the moderate (5-10%) to minimal (<5%) categories we can open

Mr. Jorajuria reference the SRIPI document where it stated that school would close when one or more of the benchmarks have been substantial for two consecutive weeks.

Mr. Wright stated that it is said that the SRIPI document is stating it is acceptable to return to school in the hybrid model when the benchmarks are in the moderate range of 5-10%. Mr. Jorajuria stated that we are all trying to follow the recommendations.

Mr. Wright stated they are not making us go into the green to open. He stated that why are we pushing into the 10% when 7% is in the moderate range. Mr. Chavez stated that SRIPI document makes it more clear by using percentages. Mr. Silva also referenced the 7-10% positivity. Mr. Rinehart responded that the moderate range goes all the way to 10%, that is why we would acknowledge it. Mrs. Johnson suggested we use the terms minimal and moderate. Discussion continued about percentages.

Mr. Wright asked if Mrs. Johnson has been in contact with Yuma County Health Department. She stated that she has been in contact with Yuma County Health through meetings and several phone calls. There has been much outreach. He asked if she had been guided to open. Mrs. Johnson responded that YCHD recommends an excellent mitigation plan and that in fact East Yuma County data looked fine for reopening and recommended we follow benchmarks put in place by ADHS. Mrs. Johnson again referenced that the benchmark for reopening is 5-10% for hybrid.

Mr. Wright posed the question as to what is being done now, is it hybrid? Mrs. Johnson clarified that it is not hybrid at this time, it is a place for them to do their platforms. She stated that even when we open grades 4-8 will continue working and perfecting the learning the digital platforms. She stated that there would be minimal group interaction. She stated she is very concerned about kids and pleaded with the board to open.

Mr. Wright once again reference liability. Mrs. Johnson stated the liability is outlined in the SRIPI document with all the intention of following it. She stated that we are following the rules.

Ms. Bell stated that traditional in-person instruction is not taking place and is not what is being done because some children are still staying home and we will be practicing social distancing at school.

Mr. Jorajuria stated that if we go by the moderate category we are in technically safe because we are within the 5-10% for the hybrid learning, and we would consider going away from hybrid when we have gone above the 10% for two consecutive weeks. Ms. Bell clarified the same information stating that as long as we are in the moderate category we can remain hybrid.

Mrs. Johnson stated the we can open for hybrid learning when all three categories for two consecutive weeks are moderate (5-10%) or minimal (>5%) and we would close school when any category goes to substantial <10% in those categories for two consecutive weeks or per YCHD telling us we have to close or the State of Arizona says we must close or an outbreak as defined by ADHS. (Mrs. Johnson repeated her statement)

Mr. Jorajuria made the motion to amend the Back to School Plan to open to hybrid as stated by the way Mrs. Johnson just worded it. Mr. Chavez seconded the motion.

Mr. Wright asked to have this associated with numbers. Mrs. Johnson clarified that she had stated the numbers. Then Mr. Wright stated that a green light would be anything under 10%. Mr. Wright stated that we have decided not to meet the benchmarks on the ADHS website. Mrs. Johnson stated that we have met the benchmark on the ADHS website referencing that there is not a yellow on the website but we are in the moderate range to open in the hybrid model. Mr. Wright referenced the website where it states we have not met the benchmarks. Ms. Bell stated that if we look at the data we have met the benchmarks.

Mr. Silva asked for a vote and Mr. Chavez clarified the vote it is on the amendment. Mr. Silva then asked for a vote on the amendment. As Mr. Silva was stating the amendment, Mr. Jorajuria interjected and asked to withdraw his motion or to include this week's data. Mr. Chavez stated that this could not be done because the amendment had already been seconded.

Mrs. Johnson restated that for two consecutive weeks the minimal or moderate thresholds need to be met in order to be in a hybrid model, less >100 cases/100,000 or a decline in cases, that is benchmark 1. Benchmark 2, <10% positivity and benchmark 3, <10% Covid like illness. Minimal is <5% and moderate is <10%. We will close school if for two consecutive weeks any of the three benchmarks move into the substantial category which would be >100 cases/100,000 or an increase in cases or >10% positivity, or >10% Covid like illness.

Once again, it was stated that we are not currently in a hybrid model; we are only providing a place for children to do their distant learning.

Mr. Jorajuria stated he is withdrawing his motion. Mr. Chavez interjected and stated he could not because it had already been seconded. Then Mr. Jorajuria asked who had the authority to tell him he could not withdraw his motion. Mrs. Johnson asked him why he wanted to withdraw. He stated that he just does not think that the percent positivity will be above 7% on Thursday. Mrs. Johnson stated she believes it will be. Mr. Jorajuria referenced that the website states that we have not met the benchmark and stated concerns about liability. He stated that he is really trying to open school but would like to see Thursday's numbers. Mr. Wright referenced that Yuma County benchmarks not being met but we are opening schools anyway. Mrs. Johnson stated that Mr. Tyree had contacted several days back and was excited that East Yuma County had met benchmarks per Diana Gomez from the YCHD. The YCHD chose not to contact us and chose to go by the Yuma County benchmarks.

Discussion about percentages went back and forth between Mr. Wright and Mr. Jorajuria. Mr. Chavez stated that if someone was to get sick it would be hard to prove where they became infected with the virus.

Mr. Jorajuria again he thought he had withdrawn his motion. Mr. Chavez again stated that it could not be withdrawn once it was seconded. Mrs. Salcido read how the motion had been made.

Mr. Chavez called for a vote.

Mr. Silva then called for the official vote.

There was no further discussion. Motion carries with a three to two vote. Votes were as follow:

Mr. Mike Silva – yes vote

Mr. Tristan Wright – no vote

Mr. Charlie Chavez – yes vote

Mr. Julian Rinehart – yes vote
Mr. Howie Jorajuria – no vote

5. Future Items

- a. Next meeting – October 13, 2020 at 5:00 pm

VIII. ADJOURN MEETING

A copy of the agenda background material provided to the Governing Board members (with the exception of material relating to possible executive sessions) is available for public inspection at the District Office, 5151 South Avenue 39E, Roll, Arizona.

Motion: Mr. Charlie Chavez moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Tristan Wright seconded. There was no discussion. Motion carries. The meeting adjourned at 6:58 pm.

Dated this 11th day of September, 2020
Delia Salcido, Governing Board Secretary

**AS YOU HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS AGENDA, PLEASE TELEPHONE (928) 785-4942.
THANK YOU.**

Designated Board Member

Date

Delia Salcido, Board Secretary

Date