Hondo Valley Public Schools

School Grading Summary

The district grade is determined b average of school grades in the di- For a description of status see pag	strict.	Grade C							
	Total Number Per								
Schools Rated in District	2	100.0							
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0							
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0							
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0							

Source: PED Assessment and Accountability Division

0.0

0

What are school grades?

Schools in Reward Status

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965, which was reauthorized in 2001 as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. In 2011, New Mexico lawmakers enacted additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through a grading system similar to that applied to students, issuing an A through F letter grade to each school [22-2-1, 22-2-2, and 22-2E-1 to 22-2E-4] [6.19.8.1 NMAC-N, 12-15-11]. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and postsecondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally-authorized charter schools, and all stateauthorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards, and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

Summaries of School Grades

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Attendance

Growth for Q1 and Q3 Subgroups

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science

Participation in Assessments

NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

Budgeted Expenditures

Teacher Credentials

Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation) Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally-authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander

Afr Am: African American

English Language Learners

Economically Disadvantaged as determined by eligibility for

Free or Reduced Lunch

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special education

students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students in

reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of students in

reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%), and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

SGTs School Growth Targets, like the predecessor Annual Measurable Objectives or AMOs, increase annually for monitoring subgroup performance and growth. Figures reflect whether the percent of students for the school meet the current year's goals.

Recently Arrived These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

tudent Demographics									
	LEA		State						
	Number	%	Number	%					
All Students	129	100	337,054	100					
Female	57	44	164,148	49					
Male	72	56	172,906	51					
Caucasian	14	11	84,518	25					
African-American	0	0	7,530	2					
Hispanic	111	86	204,866	61					
Asian	0	0	4,489	1					
Pacific Islander	2	2	509	0					
American Indian	2	2	35,142	10					
Multiple Races	0	0	2	0					
ED	129	100	231,830	69					
SWD	19	15	48,479	14					
ELL	36	28	51,895	15					
Migrant	0	0	458	0					
Recently Arrived	15	12	19,389	6					

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing and with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to their overall letter grade, and where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2014 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Hondo Elementary	С	Hondo High	В

Accountability - School Growth Targets (SGTs)

Customized targets called School Growth Targets (SGTs) guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year and challenge schools to identify student groups that might be struggling to keep up with their peers. Students who are not proficient but have made large enough gains to become proficient in the next 3 years are considered proficient. They are combined with students who are proficient today for percentages. Growth figures for Q1 and Q3 are in scaled score units, and should be evaluated in the context of the possible score on the assessment which ranges from 0 to 80.

				Profi	cient or O	n Target	to Proficie	ncy				Gro	wth	
	Target (%)	All Students	Caucasian	Afr Amer	Hispanic		Amer Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	Targe (SS/Y	et r) Q1	Targe (SS/Y	
Hondo Elemen	itary													
Reading	61	60.5 ×			61.1 🗸			60.5 🗴			2.5		0.25	0.7 🗸
Mathematics	55	23.7 🗴			19.4 🗴			23.7 🗴			2.2		0.15	-0.5 🗴
Attendance	92	94.8 🗸			94.8 🗸			94.8 🗸	95.3 🗸	95.9 🗸				
Hondo High														
Reading	61	43.9 🗴			45.2 🗴			43.9 🗴			2.5		0.25	-0.4 ×
Mathematics	55	36.6 🗴			32.3 🗴			36.6 🛰			2.2		0.15	-0.3 🗴
Attendance	92	97.9 ✓			97.8 ✓			97.9 🗸						
Graduation	73.7	81.7 🗸			84.1 🗸			81.7 🗸						
LEA Current														
Reading	61	51.9 🗴			53.7 🗴			51.9 🗴		39.1 🗴	2.5	2.5 🗴	0.25	0.1 🖊
Mathematics	55	30.4 🗴			25.4 🗴			30.4 🖊		17.4 🛰	2.2		0.15	-0.4 🖊
Attendance	92	95.5 ✓			95.4 ✓			95.5 🗸	95.7 🗸	96.2 🗸				
Graduation	73.7	81.7 ✓			84.1 🗸			81.7 🗸						
Blanks indicate too fe	w students	to report (N<10))						Sou	ırce: PED Data	Planning a	ınd Analysis E	Bureau	

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for our students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-8 and 10-11, and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11.

			Re	eading			Mathe	matics			Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient	vel 3=Proficient Proficiency Level (%)				Proficienc	y Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)				
Grade	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
3	State Current	6	45	24	24	4	45	34	16				
3	State Prior	6	49	24	21	4	47	36	13				
3	LEA Current												
3	LEA Prior	<2	40	40	20	<2	20	70	10				
4	State Current	8	36	41	15	10	33	35	23	6	43	31	21
4	State Prior	10	36	41	13	9	36	35	19	7	46	28	19
4	LEA Current	<2	20	70	10	20	<2	80	<2	10	40	50	<2
4	LEA Prior	8	33	58	<2	8	33	50	8	8	42	42	8
5	State Current	10	44	35	12	11	33	34	22				
5	State Prior	9	42	36	12	10	33	37	20				

			Re	ading			Mathe	matics		Science				
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient Level 2=Nearing Proficient		Proficienc	y Level (%	5)		Proficienc	y Level (%)	Proficiency Level (%)				
Cun d	Level 1=Beginning Step	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	
Grade 5	LEA Current	17	33	50	<2	8	33	33	25	4	3		1	
						_								
5	LEA Prior	<2	8	62	31	<2	8	38	54					
6	State Current	9	34	40	17	5	32	38	24					
6	State Prior	8	39	40	13	6	34	38	23					
6	LEA Current													
6	LEA Prior													
7	State Current	5	46	34	14	5	35	37	23	10	32	34	24	
7	State Prior	5	45	36	14	6	36	38	21	10	32	35	23	
7	LEA Current													
7	LEA Prior	<2	42	50	8	<2	33	42	25	8	25	42	25	
8	State Current	7	51	29	13	5	35	43	17					
8	State Prior	5	55	28	12	5	38	44	14					
8	LEA Current	<2	50	50	<2	<2	30	40	30					
8	LEA Prior	<2	63	25	13	<2	56	38	6					
10	State Current	5	33	41	21	5	26	52	17					
10	State Prior	8	33	40	19	4	26	53	17					
10	LEA Current	8	23	62	8	<2	31	69	<2					
10	LEA Prior	10	40	50	<2	<2	20	70	10					
11	State Current	9	43	36	13	9	34	46	11	4	37	41	18	
11	State Prior	12	44	33	11	7	35	47	11	3	37	41	20	
11	LEA Current													
11	LEA Prior													

Achievemen	Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup													
				Rea	ding		Mathematics				Science			
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient			oficiency				oficiency	•				y Level (
	Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
All Students		LEA	5	34	51	10	4	25	51	20	4	46	39	11
Female		LEA	11	26	54	9	6	17	49	29	<2	30	50	20
Male		LEA	<2	41	48	11	2	32	52	14	6	56	33	6
Caucasian		LEA	10	30	60	<2	10	40	40	10				
Hispanic		LEA	4	34	51	10	3	22	52	22	4	43	43	9
Asian		LEA												
Economically Disa	dvantaged	LEA	5	34	51	10	4	25	51	20	4	46	39	11
Students w Disabil	lities	LEA	<2	20	40	40	<2	20	40	40				
English Language Learners, Current LEA		LEA	<2	17	65	17	4	13	48	35				
Q1		LEA	<2	13	58	29	<2	<2	37	63				
Q3		LEA	7	44	47	2	5	33	55	7	5	68	26	<2

Achievemen	Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School												
			Rea	ding		N	lather	natics	;		Scie	nce	
	Level 4=Advanced Level 3=Proficient	Pr	oficienc	y Level ((%)	Pro	oficiency	Level (%)	Pr	oficiency	y Level (%)
	Level 2=Nearing Proficient Level 1=Beginning Step	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Hondo Elementary		5	34	45	16	8	16	53	24	10	40	50	<2
Hondo High		5	34	56	5	<2	34	49	17	<2	50	33	17
Hondo Valley Publi	ic Schools					Page	3 of 5		Schoo	I District	Report	Card 20	13-2014

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally-authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes their locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$182,912	6.2
Central Services	\$78,388	2.7
Community Services		
Debt Service	\$231,073	7.8
Food Services	\$114,608	3.9
General Administration	\$198,452	6.7
Instruction	\$1,392,218	47.2
Instruction Support Services	\$18,349	0.6
Operations & Maintenance	\$223,516	7.6
Other Support Services		
School Administration	\$52,969	1.8
Student Support Services	\$205,272	7.0
Student Transportation	\$250,395	8.5
	Source: The PED School	Budget and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member	Number of Points
Coda Omness	9
Donna Brady	23
Godfrey Gomez	19
Jim Cooper	10
Justine Ortiz	13
Source: NM School Board Association ar Division	nd PED Charter Schools

Graduation - 5 Year Cohort of 2012

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2012 and either graduated on time, or required one additional year. The 4-year rates for the cohort of 2013 are displayed under School Growth Targets (SGT) earlier in this report. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer				
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
State Current	74.0	79.7	71.7	71.6	87.6	70.7	69.6	63.0	70.8	
LEA Current	>98.0			>98.0			>98.0		>98.0	
Hondo High	>98.0			>98.0			>98.0		>98.0	
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau					

Graduation - 6 Year Cohort of 2011

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2011 and either graduated on time, or required up to two additional years. The cohort includes all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer				
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
State Current	73.5	80.2	69.4	71.1	83.6	68.3	68.8	63.6	69.7	
LEA Current	87.8			90.9			87.8			
Hondo High	87.8			90.9			87.8			
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)					Source: PED Data Planning and Analysis Bureau					

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2012 (College Going) and 2010 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma. **Credits Earned** Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All		Afr			Amer			
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
LEA Current	Eligible	15			15			15		
Hondo High	Eligible	15			15			15		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)							Source: N	ational S	tudent Clea	ringhouse

		Statewide %	LEA %
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials		.3	.0
Const Classes Net Toucht he Water Overlift of Touch and	High Poverty Schools	.5	.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Poverty Schools	NA	NA

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Cumiou	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents))		
	Survey Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	
Districtwide	23	100	100	100	100	100	95	96	100	91	100	
Hondo Elementary	7	100	100	100	100	100	100	86	100	71	100	
Hondo High	15	100	100	100	100	100	93	100	100	100	100	
	Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annual											

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments which annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Parti	cipation		
	Reading %	Math %	Science %
4th Grade ELL	98	98	94
4th Grade SWD*	93	92	86
8th Grade ELL	93	98	93
8th Grade SWD*	91	89	87

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities

Participation in NAEP is not mandatory

4th	Reading (2013)				Math (2013)				Science (2009)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	4	18	31	48	4	27	43	26	#	24	39	37	
Nation	8	26	33	33	8	34	41	18	1	32	39	29	

8th	Reading (2011)				Math (2011)				Science (2011)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic*	Below %	
New Mexico	1	21	45	33	4	18	40	37	1	22	35	43	
Nation	4	31	42	23	8	26	39	27	2	29	34	36	

^{*} Basic is most comparable to Proficient on New Mexico's Standards Based Assessment

Blanks indicate too few students to report

[#] Rounds to zero